arXiv:1607.03437v1 [math.DG] 7 Jul 2016

# A NOTE ON QUASI GENERALIZED CR-LIGHTLIKE GEOMETRY IN INDEFINITE NEARLY $\mu$-SASAKIAN MANIFOLD

FORTUNÉ MASSAMBA*, SAMUEL SSEKAJJA**

ABSTRACT. The concept of quasi generalized CR-lightlike was first introduced by the authors in [18]. In this paper, we focus on ascreen and co-screen quasi generalized CR-lightlike submanifolds of indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold. We prove an existence theorem for minimal ascreen quasi generalized CR-lightlike submanifolds admitting a metric connection. Classification theorems on nearly parallel and auto-parallel distributions on a co-screen quasi generalized CR-lightlike submanifold are also given. Several examples are also constructed, where necessary, to illustrate the main ideas.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Lightlike geometry is widely applied in mathematical physics, particularly in general relativity and electromagnetism (see [5, 8] and references therein). The theory of lightlike submanifolds was introduced, in 1996, by Bejancu-Duggal [5] and later adopted by many researchers, for instance [6], [8], [9], [10], [15], [16] and [17]. In [9], Duggal-Sahin studied generalized CR (GCR) lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Sasakian manifold. In their paper, the structure vector field, $\xi$, of the almost contact structure $(\overline{\phi}, \xi, \eta)$ was assumed to be tangent to the GCR-lightlike submanifold. Moreover, when $\xi$ is tangent to the submanifold in Sasakian case, Calin [4] proved that it belongs to the screen distribution. This assumption is widely accepted and it has been applied in many papers on contact lightlike geometry (see [7], [8], [9], [15] and other references therein).

One of the motivations for choosing $\xi$ to be tangent to the CR-submanifold, by many authors, is the book [20, p. 48] by Yano-Kon, in which they showed that any Riemannian submanifold of Sasakian manifold which is

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C25; Secondary 53C40, 53C50.

*Key words and phrases.* QGCR-lightlike submanifold; Nearly cosympletic manifold; minimal submanifold; ascreen and co-screen submanifolds.

normal to the structure vector field, $\xi$, is actually anti-invariant. More precisely, if $A_\xi$ (with $\xi$ in the normal bundle) and $g$ denotes the shape operator and the induced metric tensor, respectively, of a Riemannian submanifold of a Sasakian manifold, then one can easily verify that $g(A_\xi X, Y) = g(\overline{\phi}X, Y)$, for any tangent vector fields $X$ and $Y$. Since $A_\xi$ is symmetric while $\overline{\phi}$ is anti-symmetric with respect to $g$, then one gets $A_\xi = 0$ and consequently $\overline{\phi}X$ is a normal vector field for any tangent vector $X$. This indicates that the tangent bundle of such submanifold is carried into its normal bundle under the action of $\overline{\phi}$.

On contrary, the normal bundle of a lightlike submanifold intersect its tangent bundle and, also, its shape operators are generally not symmetric with respect to the induced metric tensor and thus one may, if needed, consider some *special* non-tangential almost contact CR-lightlike submanifolds.

Restricting $\xi$ to the screen distribution comes with immediate benefits, including simplicity and maintenance of the classical contact CR-structures as introduced in Riemannian CR-submanifolds. However, one drawback with this choice is the narrowing of research to only such CR-submanifolds and leaving out other special cases which one gets if $\xi$ is kept general. In a different view, we introduced a new class of CR-lightlike submanifold of a nearly Sasakian and nearly cosymplectic manifold, known as *quasi generalised CR (QGCR)* lightlike submanifold (see [18] for details) in which $\xi$ was not necessarily tangent to the submanifold. We proved that any QGCR-lightlike submanifold tangent to the structure vector field is, in fact, a GCR-lightlike submanifold [9]. It is important to note that generalizing $\xi$ gives a submanifold (for instance, QGCR-lightlike submanifold) which is lower in dimension to a comparable GCR-lightlike submanifold. For instance, one requires a 7-dimensional submanifold of an 11-dimensional almost contact ambient manifold in order to achieve a 3-lightlike proper ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold (see [18] for other details), while a 3-lightlike proper GCR submanifold is 9-dimensional and comes from a 13-dimensional almost contact ambient manifold (see [9]). Further still, under similar conditions, a 13-dimensional almost contact ambient manifold gives an 8-dimensional 3-lightlike co-screen QGCR submanifold and a 9-dimensional 3-lightlike GCR submanifold (see Example 5.2).

The aim of this paper is to study minimal ascreen and co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifolds of indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold. We prove an existence theorem for irrotational minimal ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold, admitting a metric connection and also discuss the geometry of distributions on co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifold. Also, several examples have been constructed to illustrate the ideas.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the basic notions of nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifolds and lightlike submanifolds which we refer to in the remaining sections. For extended details on nearly Sasakian and nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifolds refer to [1], [2], [3], [11] and [14]. In Section 3, we review the basic notions of QGCR-lightlike submanifolds. In Section 4, we study minimal QGCR-lightlike submanifolds. Finally, in section 5 we introduce co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifolds and study the geometry of its distributions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\overline{M}^{2n+1}$ be an odd dimensional manifold equipped with an almost contact structure $(\overline{\phi}, \xi, \eta)$, i.e., $\overline{\phi}$ is a tensor field of type $(1,1)$, $\xi$ is a vector field, and $\eta$ is a 1-form satisfying

$$\overline{\phi}^2 = -\mathbb{I} + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \eta \circ \overline{\phi} = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \overline{\phi}(\xi) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Then $(\overline{\phi}, \xi, \eta, \overline{g})$ is called an indefinite almost contact metric structure on $\overline{M}$ if $(\overline{\phi}, \xi, \eta)$ is an almost contact structure on $\overline{M}$ and $\overline{g}$ is a semi-Riemannian metric on $\overline{M}$ such that [3], for any vector fields $\overline{X}$, $\overline{Y}$ on $\overline{M}$,

$$\overline{g}(\overline{\phi}\,\overline{X}, \overline{\phi}\,\overline{Y}) = \overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) - \eta(\overline{X})\,\eta(\overline{Y}), \ \text{ and } \ \eta(\overline{X}) = \overline{g}(\xi, \overline{X}). \tag{2.2}$$

Let $\overline{\nabla}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection on $\overline{M}$ for the semi-Riemannian metric $\overline{g}$. Then, $\overline{M}$ is called an *indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold* if it satisfies

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{\phi})\overline{Y} + (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}}\overline{\phi})\overline{X} = \mu\{2\overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\xi - \eta(\overline{Y})\overline{X} - \eta(\overline{X})\overline{Y}\}, \tag{2.3}$$

for any vector fields $\overline{X}$, $\overline{Y}$ on $\overline{M}$. Notice that when $\mu = 0$ (resp. $\mu = 1$) then $\overline{M}$ reduces to the known nearly cosymplectic (resp. nearly Sasakian) manifold.

Through out this paper, $\Gamma(\Xi)$ will denote the set of smooth sections of the vector bundle $\Xi$.

By letting $\overline{Y} = \xi$ in (2.3) we obtain

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\xi + \overline{\phi}(\overline{\nabla}_{\xi}\overline{\phi})\overline{X} = -\mu\overline{\phi}\,\overline{X}, \tag{2.4}$$

for any $\overline{X} \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$. Let $\overline{H}$ be a (1,1)-tensor on $\overline{M}$ taking

$$\overline{H}\,\overline{X} = \overline{\phi}(\overline{\nabla}_{\xi}\overline{\phi})\overline{X},$$

for any $\overline{X} \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$, such that (2.4) reduces to $\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\xi = -\mu\overline{\phi}\,\overline{X} - \overline{H}\,\overline{X}$.

By a straightforward calculation, one can show that the linear operator $\overline{H}$ satisfies the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{H}\,\overline{\phi} + \overline{\phi}\,\overline{H} = 0, \ \ \overline{H}\xi = 0, \ \ \eta \circ \overline{H} = 0, \\ &\text{and } \ \overline{g}(\overline{H}\,\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = -\overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{H}\,\overline{Y}) \quad (\overline{H} \ \text{ is skew-symmetric}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, $\overline{M}$ is $\mu$-Sasakian if and only if $\overline{H}$ vanishes identically on $\overline{M}$ (see [3]).

Let $(\overline{M}^{m+n}$, be semi-Riemannian manifold of constant index $\nu$, $1 \leq \nu \leq m+n$ and $M$ be a submanifold of $\overline{M}^m$ of codimension $n$, both $m, n \geq 1$. For any point $p \in M$, the orthogonal complement $T_pM^\perp$ of the tangent space $T_pM$ is given by

$$T_pM^\perp = \{X \in T_pM : \overline{g}(X, Y) = 0,\ \forall\, Y \in T_pM\}.$$

Let $\mathrm{Rad}\, T_pM = \mathrm{Rad}\, T_pM^\perp = T_pM \cap T_pM^\perp$. The submanifold $M$ of $\overline{M}$ is said to be $r$-lightlike submanifold (one supposes that the index of $\overline{M}$ is $q \geq r$), if the mapping $\mathrm{Rad}\, TM : p \in M \longrightarrow \mathrm{Rad}\, T_pM$ defines a smooth distribution on $M$ of rank $r > 0$. We call $\mathrm{Rad}\, TM$ the radical distribution on $M$. In the sequel, an $r$-lightlike submanifold will simply be called a *lightlike submanifold* and $g$ is *lightlike metric*, unless we need to specify $r$.

Let $S(TM)$ be a screen distribution which is a semi-Riemannian complementary distribution of $\mathrm{Rad}\, TM$ in $TM$, that is,

$$TM = \mathrm{Rad}\, TM \perp S(TM). \tag{2.6}$$

Let us consider a screen transversal bundle $S(TM^\perp)$, which is semi-Riemannian and complementary to $\mathrm{Rad}\, TM$ in $TM^\perp$. Since, for any local basis $\{E_i\}$ of $\mathrm{Rad}\, TM$, there exists a local null frame $\{N_i\}$ of sections with values in the orthogonal complement of $S(TM^\perp)$ in $S(TM)^\perp$ such that $g(E_i, N_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and $\overline{g}(N_i, N_j) = 0$. It follows that there exists a lightlike transversal vector bundle $l\mathrm{tr}(TM)$ locally spanned by $\{N_i\}$ [5].

Let $\mathrm{tr}(TM)$ be complementary (but not orthogonal) vector bundle to $TM$ in $T\overline{M}$. Then,

$$\mathrm{tr}(TM) = l\mathrm{tr}(TM) \perp S(TM^\perp), \tag{2.7}$$

$$T\overline{M} = S(TM) \perp S(TM^\perp) \perp \{\mathrm{Rad}\, TM \oplus l\mathrm{tr}(TM)\}. \tag{2.8}$$

Note that the distribution $S(TM)$ is not unique, and is canonically isomorphic to the factor vector bundle $TM/\mathrm{Rad}\, TM$ given by Kupeli [13].

The following classification of a lightlike submanifold $M$ of $\overline{M}$ are well-known [5]: i). $M$ is $r$-null if $1 \leq r < min\{m, n\}$; ii). $M$ is co-isotropic if $1 \leq r = n < m$, $S(TM^\perp) = \{0\}$; iii). $M$ is isotropic if $1 \leq r = m < n$, $S(TM) = \{0\}$; iv). $M$ is totally lightlike if $r = n = m$, $S(TM) = S(TM^\perp) = \{0\}$.

Consider a local quasi-orthonormal fields of frames of $\overline{M}$ along $M$ as

$$\{E_1, \cdots, E_r, N_1, \cdots, N_r, X_{r+1}, \cdots, X_m, W_{1+r}, \cdots, W_n\},$$

where $\{X_{r+1}, \cdots, X_m\}$ and $\{W_{1+r}, \ldots, W_n\}$ are respectively orthogonal bases of $\Gamma(S(TM)|_U)$ and $\Gamma(S(TM^\perp)|_U)$ and that $\epsilon_l = g(X_l, X_l)$ and $\epsilon_\alpha = \overline{g}(W_\alpha, W_\alpha)$ be the signatures of $X_l$ and $W_\alpha$ respectively.

Let $P$ be the projection morphism of $TM$ on to $S(TM)$. the following Gauss-Weingartein equations of an $r$-lightlike submanifold $M$ and $S(TM)$ are well-known [8];

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i^l(X,Y)N_i + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} h_\alpha^s(X,Y)W_\alpha, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_X N_i = -A_{N_i}X + \sum_{j=1}^{r} \tau_{ij}(X)N_j + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} \rho_{i\alpha}(X)W_\alpha, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_X W_\alpha = -A_{W_\alpha}X + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \varphi_{\alpha i}(X)N_i + \sum_{\beta=r+1}^{n} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(X)W_\beta, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\nabla_X PY = \nabla_X^* PY + \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i^*(X,PY)E_i, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\nabla_X E_i = -A_{E_i}^* X - \sum_{j=1}^{r} \tau_{ji}(X)E_j, \quad \forall\, X,Y \in \Gamma(TM), \tag{2.13}$$

where $\nabla$ and $\nabla^*$ are the induced connections on $TM$ and $S(TM)$ respectively. Further, $h_i^l$ and $h_\alpha^s$ are symmetric bilinear forms known as *local lightlike* and *screen fundamental* forms of $TM$ respectively. Also $h_i^*$ are the *second fundamental forms* of $S(TM)$. $A_{N_i}$, $A_{E_i}^*$ and $A_{W_\alpha}$ are linear operators on $TM$ while $\tau_{ij}$, $\rho_{i\alpha}$, $\varphi_{\alpha i}$ and $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ are 1-forms on $TM$.

Notice from (2.9) that the second fundamental form $h$ of $M$ is given by

$$h(X,Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i^l(X,Y)N_i + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} h_\alpha^s(X,Y)W_\alpha, \tag{2.14}$$

for any $X,Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. It is easy to see that $\nabla^*$ is a metric connection on $S(TM)$, while $\nabla$ is generally not a metric connection and satisfies:

$$(\nabla_X g)(Y,Z) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \{h_i^l(X,Y)\lambda_i(Z) + h_i^l(X,Z)\lambda_i(Y)\}, \tag{2.15}$$

for any $X,Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\lambda_i$ are 1-forms given by $\lambda_i(\cdot) = \overline{g}(\cdot, N_i)$.

The above three local second fundamental forms are related to their shape operators by the following equations.

$$g(A_{E_i}^* X,Y) = h_i^l(X,Y) + \sum_{j=1}^{r} h_j^l(X,E_i)\lambda_j(Y), \;\; \overline{g}(A_{E_i}^* X, N_j) = 0,$$

$$g(A_{W_\alpha}X,Y) = \epsilon_\alpha h_\alpha^s(X,Y) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \varphi_{\alpha i}(X)\lambda_i(Y),$$

$$\overline{g}(A_{W_\alpha}X, N_i) = \epsilon_\alpha \rho_{i\alpha}(X),$$
$$g(A_{N_i}X, Y) = h_i^*(X, \mathcal{P}Y), \quad \lambda_j(A_{N_i}X) + \lambda_i(A_{N_j}X) = 0,$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

## 3. Quasi generalized CR-lightlike submanifolds

The structure vector field $\xi$ is globally defined on $T\overline{M}$. Therefore, one can define it according to decomposition (2.7) as follows;

$$\xi = \xi_S + \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i E_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r} b_i N_i + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} c_\alpha W_\alpha, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\xi_S$ is a smooth vector field of $S(TM)$ while $a_i = \eta(N_i)$, $b_i = \eta(E_i)$ and $c_\alpha = \epsilon_\alpha \eta(W_\alpha)$ all smooth functions on $\overline{M}$.

Now, we adopt the definition of quasi generalized CR (QGCR)-lightlike submanifolds given in [18] for indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifolds.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ be a lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$. We say that $M$ is quasi generalized CR (QGCR)-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) there exist two distributions $D_1$ and $D_2$ of $\mathrm{Rad}\, TM$ such that

$$\mathrm{Rad}\, TM = D_1 \oplus D_2, \;\; \overline{\phi} D_1 = D_1, \;\; \overline{\phi} D_2 \subset S(TM), \tag{3.2}$$

(ii) there exist vector bundles $D_0$ and $\overline{D}$ over $S(TM)$ such that

$$S(TM) = \{\overline{\phi} D_2 \oplus \overline{D}\} \perp D_0, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\text{with} \;\; \overline{\phi} D_0 \subseteq D_0, \;\; \overline{D} = \overline{\phi}\,\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\phi}\,\mathcal{L}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $D_0$ is a non-degenerate distribution on $M$, $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are respectively vector subbundles of $l\mathrm{tr}(TM)$ and $S(TM^\perp)$.

If $D_1 \neq \{0\}$, $D_0 \neq \{0\}$, $D_2 \neq \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{S} \neq \{0\}$, then $M$ is called a *proper QGCR-lightlike submanifold*. Through out this paper we shall suppose that $M$ is a proper QGCR-lightlike submanifold.

**Proposition 3.2.** *A QGCR-lightlike submanifold $M$ of an indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$ tangent to the structure vector field $\xi$ is a GCR-lightlike submanifold.*

*Proof.* The proof uses similar arguments as in [18]. □

With reference to (2.6), $TM$ can be rewritten as

$$TM = D \oplus \widehat{D}, \;\; \text{with} \;\; D = D_0 \perp D_1 \text{ and } \widehat{D} = \{D_2 \perp \overline{\phi} D_2\} \oplus \overline{D}.$$

Notice that $D$ is invariant with respect to $\overline{\phi}$ while $\widehat{D}$ is not generally anti-invariant with respect to $\overline{\phi}$ as it is the case with the classical GCR-lightlike submanifolds [9].

Let $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^{\perp}))$ be a QGCR-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}$, then from Definition 3.1:

(1) condition (i) implies that $\dim(\mathrm{Rad}\, TM) = s \geq 3$,
(2) condition (ii) implies that $\dim(D) \geq 4l \geq 4$ and $\dim(D_2) = \dim(\mathcal{L})$.

## 4. Minimal ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifolds

Consider a quasi-orthonormal frame a long $T\overline{M}$ given by

$$\{E_1, \cdots, E_r, X_1, \cdots, X_m, W_1, \cdots, W_n, N_1, \cdots, N_r\}, \tag{4.1}$$

such that $\{E_1, \cdots, E_q, X_1, \cdots, X_m\} \in TM$. Let us suppose that $\{E_1, \cdots, E_{2p}\}$, $\{E_{2p+1}, \cdots, E_r\}$ and $\{X_1, \cdots, X_{2l}\}$ are respectively the bases of $D_1$, $D_2$ and $D_0$. Further, let $\{W_{r+1}, \cdots, W_k\}$ and $\{N_{2p+1}, \cdots, N_r\}$, respectively be the bases of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{L}$.

**Definition 4.1** ([12])**.** A lightlike submanifold $M$ of a semi-Riemannian manifold $\overline{M}$ is said to be *ascreen* if the structure vector field, $\xi$, belongs to $\mathrm{Rad}\, TM \oplus l\mathrm{tr}(TM)$.

The following result for ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifolds is well-known (see Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 3.7 of [18]).

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^{\perp}))$ be an ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$, then $\xi \in \Gamma(D_2 \oplus \mathcal{L})$. Further, if $M$ is a 3-lightlike QGCR submanifold, then $M$ is ascreen lightlike submanifold if and only if $\overline{\phi}\mathcal{L} = \overline{\phi}D_2$.*

From (4.1) and (3.1), we can write the generalized structure vector field of an ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold as

$$\xi = \sum_{i=2p+1}^{r} a_i E_i + \sum_{i=2p+1}^{r} b_i N_i, \tag{4.2}$$

where $a_i = \overline{g}(N_i, \xi)$ and $b_i = \overline{g}(E_i, \xi)$.

Now, using (4.1) and Theorem 4.2 above, we deduce the following for an $r$-lightlike ascreen QGCR-submanifold $(M, g)$.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let $M$ be a proper $r$-lightlike ascreen QGCR submanifold, where $r \geq 3$, of an indefinite almost contact manifold $\overline{M}$. Then, there exist at least one pair $\{E_u, N_u\} \subset \mathcal{L} \oplus D_2$ and a corresponding non-vanishing real valued smooth function $\sigma_u$, where $u \in \{2p+1, \cdots, r\}$, such that $\overline{\phi}N_u = \sigma_u \overline{\phi}E_u$ and $\dim(\overline{\phi}\mathcal{L} \oplus \overline{\phi}D_2) \geq 1$. Equality occurs when $r = 3$.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 4.2 above. □

As an example, we construct a 4-lightlike ascreen QGCR submanifold. Let us consider the case $\mu = 0$ and $\overline{H} = 0$. That is, $\overline{M} = (\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}_q, \overline{\phi}_0, \xi, \eta, \overline{g})$ is an indefinite cosymplectic manifold with the usual cosympletic structure;

$$\eta = dz, \quad \xi = \partial z,$$

$$\overline{g} = \eta \otimes \eta - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{q}{2}}(dx^i \otimes dx^i + dy^i \otimes dy^i) + \sum_{i=q+1}^{m}(dx^i \otimes dx^i + dy^i \otimes dy^i),$$

$$\phi_0(\sum_{i=1}^{m}(X_i\partial x^i + Y_i\partial y^i) + Z\partial z) = \sum_{i=1}^{m}(Y_i\partial x^i - X_i\partial y^i),$$

where $(x^i, y^i, z)$ are Cartesian coordinates and $\partial t_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial t^k}$, for $t \in \mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$.

**Example 4.4.** Let $\overline{M} = (\mathbb{R}^{15}_6, \overline{g})$ be a semi-Euclidean space, where $\overline{g}$ is of signature $(-,-,-,+,+,+,+,-,-,-,+,+,+,+,+)$ with respect to the canonical basis

$$(\partial x_1, \partial x_2, \partial x_3, \partial x_4, \partial x_5, \partial x_6, \partial x_7,\ \partial y_1, \partial y_2, \partial y_3, \partial y_4, \partial y_5, \partial y_6, \partial y_7, \partial z).$$

Let $(M, g)$ be a submanifold of $\overline{M}$ given by

$$y^1 = -x^4,\ \ y^2 = x^5,\ \ y^3 = \sqrt{z^2 - (x^3)^2},\ \ y^4 = x^1,\ \ y^6 = x^6,\ x^3, y^3 > 0.$$

By direct calculations, one can easily check that the vector fields

$$E_1 = \partial x_4 + \partial y_1, \quad E_2 = \partial x_1 - \partial y_4, \quad E_3 = \partial x_5 + \partial y_2,$$
$$E_4 = x^3\partial x_3 + y^3\partial y_3 + z\partial z, \quad X_1 = \partial x_6 + \partial y_6, \quad X_2 = \partial x_2 - \partial y_5,$$
$$X_3 = y^3\partial x_3 - x^3\partial y_3, \quad X_4 = -\partial x_2 - \partial y_5, \quad X_5 = \partial y_7, \quad X_6 = \partial x_7,$$

form a local frame of $TM$. With reference to the above frame, we see that $\mathrm{Rad}\, TM$ is spanned by $\{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$, and therefore $M$ is a 4-lightlike submanifold. Further more, $\overline{\phi}_0 E_1 = E_2$, therefore we set $D_1 = \mathrm{span}\{E_1, E_2\}$. Notice that $\overline{\phi}_0 E_3 = X_2$ and $\overline{\phi}_0 E_4 = X_3$ thus, $D_2 = \mathrm{span}\{E_3, E_4\}$. Also, $\overline{\phi}_0 X_5 = X_6$, so we set $D_0 = \mathrm{span}\{X_5, X_6\}$. Further, by following direct calculations, we have

$$N_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\partial x_4 - \partial y_1), \quad N_2 = \frac{1}{2}(-\partial x_1 - \partial y_4), \quad N_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\partial x_5 - \partial y_2)$$
$$N_4 = \frac{1}{2z^2}(-x^3\partial x_3 - y^3\partial y_3 + z\partial z), \quad W = \partial x_6 - \partial y_6.$$

Note that $l\mathrm{tr}(TM) = \mathrm{span}\{N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4\}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \mathrm{span}\{W\}$. It is easy to see that $\overline{\phi}_0 N_2 = -N_1$ and $\overline{\phi}_0 N_3 = X_4$. Notice $\overline{\phi}_0 N_4 = -\frac{1}{2z^2}X_3 = -\frac{1}{2z^2}\overline{\phi}E_4$ and, hence, $\sigma_4 = -\frac{1}{2z^2}$ (see Proposition 4.3). Therefore, $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{span}\{N_3, N_4\}$. Also, $\overline{\phi}W = -X_1$ and hence $\mathcal{S} = \mathrm{span}\{W\}$. Observe

that $\overline{\phi}\mathcal{L} \oplus \overline{\phi}D_2 = \text{span}\{X_2, X_3, X_4\}$ and therefore $\dim(\overline{\phi}\mathcal{L} \oplus \overline{\phi}D_2) = 3$. Applying $\overline{\phi}_0$ to (4.2) and substituting the corresponding $\overline{\phi}_0 E_i$s and $\overline{\phi}_0 N_i$s for $i = 3, 4$ we obtain $a_3 + b_3 = 0$, $a_3 - b_3 = 0$ and $2z^2 a_4 = b_4$. Finally, we get $\xi = \frac{1}{2z}E_4 + zN_4$. Since $\overline{\phi}_0\xi = 0$ and $\overline{g}(\xi, \xi) = 1$, we see that $(M, g)$ is a 4-lightlike ascreen QGCR submanifold of $\overline{M}$ satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 4.3.

Next, we adapt the definition of minimal lightlike submanifolds given by [9].

**Definition 4.5.** A lightlike submanifold $(M, g, S(TM))$ of a semi-Riemannian $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ is called minimal if;

(1) $h^s = 0$ on $\text{Rad}\, TM$ and,
(2) $\text{trace}(h) = 0$, where trace is writen with respect to $g$ restricted to $S(TM)$.

It is well-known that the Definition 4.5 is independent of the choice of the screen distribution $S(TM)$ [9].

Now, we construct a minimal ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold, which is note totally geodesic, of a nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold with $\mu = 0$ and $\overline{H} = 0$ (i.e., the ambient space is a cosymplectic manifold).

**Example 4.6.** Let $\overline{M} = (\mathbb{R}^{13}_4, \overline{g})$ be a semi-Euclidean space, where $\overline{g}$ is of signature $(-,-,+,+,+,+,-,-,+,+,+,+,+)$ with respect to the canonical basis

$$(\partial x_1, \partial x_2, \partial x_3, \partial x_4, \partial x_5, \partial x_6, \partial y_1, \partial y_2, \partial y_3, \partial y_4, \partial y_5, \partial y_6, \partial z).$$

Let $(M, g)$ be a submanifold of $\overline{M}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&x^1 = \omega^1, \;\; x^2 = \omega^2, \;\; x^3 = \omega^3, \;\; x^4 = \omega^4, \;\; x^5 = \cos\omega^5 \cosh\omega^6,\\
&x^6 = \sin\omega^5 \cosh\omega^6, \;\; y^1 = -\omega^4, \;\; y^2 = \sqrt{2}\omega^8 - \omega^2, \;\; y^3 = \omega^7,\\
&y^4 = \omega^1, \;\; y^5 = \cos\omega^5 \sinh\omega^6, \;\; y^6 = \sin\omega^5 \sinh\omega^6, \;\; z = \omega^8.
\end{aligned}$$

By direct calculations, we can easily check that the vector fields

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_1 = \partial x_4 + \partial y_1, \quad E_2 = \partial x_1 - \partial y_4,\\
&E_3 = \partial x_2 + \partial y_2 + \sqrt{2}\partial z, \quad X_1 = -x^6\partial x_5 + x^5\partial x_6 - y^6\partial y_5 + y^5\partial y_6\\
&X_2 = -\partial x_2 + \partial y_2, \quad X_3 = y^5\partial x_5 + y^6\partial x_6 + x^5\partial y_5 + x^6\partial y_6,\\
&X_4 = \partial y_3, \; X_5 = \partial x_3,
\end{aligned}$$

form a local frame of $TM$. From the above frame, we can see that $\text{Rad}\, TM$ is spanned by $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$, and therefore, $M$ is a 3-lightlike submanifold. Further, $\overline{\phi}_0 E_1 = E_2$, therefore we set $D_1 = \text{span}\{E_1, E_2\}$. Also $\overline{\phi}_0 E_3 = -X_2$ and thus $D_2 = \text{span}\{E_3\}$. It is easy to see that $\overline{\phi}_0 X_4 = X_5$, so we set

$D_0 = \mathrm{span}\{X_4, X_5\}$. On the other hand, following direct calculations, we have

$$N_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\partial x_4 - \partial y_1),\ N_2 = \frac{1}{2}(-\partial x_1 - \partial y_4),$$
$$N_3 = \frac{1}{4}(-\partial x_2 - \partial y_2 + \sqrt{2}\partial z),\ W_1 = -y^6\partial x_5 + y^5\partial x_6 + x^6\partial y_5 - x^5\partial y_6,$$
$$W_2 = x^5\partial x_5 + x^6\partial x_6 - y^5\partial y_5 - y^6\partial y_6,$$

from which $l\mathrm{tr}(TM) = \mathrm{span}\{N_1, N_2, N_3\}$ and $S(TM^\perp) = \mathrm{span}\{W_1, W_2\}$. Clearly, $\overline{\phi}_0 N_2 = -N_1$. Further, $\overline{\phi}_0 N_3 = \frac{1}{4}X_2$ and thus $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{span}\{N_3\}$. Notice that $\overline{\phi}_0 N_3 = -\frac{1}{4}\overline{\phi}_0 E_3$, which implies that $\sigma_3 = -\frac{1}{4}$ and therefore, $\overline{\phi}_0\mathcal{L} = \overline{\phi}_0 D_2$. Also, $\overline{\phi}_0 W_1 = -X_1$ and $\overline{\phi}_0 W_2 = -X_3$. Therefore $\mathcal{S} = \mathrm{span}\{W_1, W_2\}$. Now, we calculate $\xi$ as follows: Using (4.2) we have $\xi = a_3E_3 + b_3N_3$. Applying $\overline{\phi}_0$ to this equation we obtain $a_3\overline{\phi}_0 E_3 + b_3\overline{\phi}_0 N_3 = 0$. Now, substituting for $\overline{\phi}_0 E_3$ and $\overline{\phi}_0 N_3$ in this equation we get $4a_3 = b_3$, from which we get $\xi = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}E_3 + \sqrt{2}N_3$. Since $\overline{\phi}_0\xi = 0$ and $\overline{g}(\xi,\xi) = 1$, we see that $(M, g)$ is a proper ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}$. Finally, we verify the minimality of $(M, g)$. By simple calculations one can verify easily that the following vectors;

$$\widehat{X}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}}X_1,\quad \widehat{X}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}X_2,\ \ \widehat{X}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}}X_3,\quad \widehat{X}_4 = X_4,\ \ \widehat{X}_5 = X_5,$$
$$\widehat{W}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}}W_1,\quad \widehat{W}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varrho}}W_2,\quad \text{where}\quad \varrho := \cosh 2\omega^6,$$

are unit vector fields. Moreover, $\epsilon_2 = g(\widehat{X}_2, \widehat{X}_2) = -1$, $\epsilon_i = g(\widehat{X}_i, \widehat{X}_i) = 1$, for $i = 1, 3, 4, 5$ and $\epsilon_\alpha = \overline{g}(\widehat{W}_\alpha, \widehat{W}_\alpha) = 1$, for $\alpha = 1, 2$. Now, applying (2.9) and Koszul's formula (see [5]) one gets $h(E_1, E_1) = h(E_2, E_2) = h(E_3, E_3) = h(\widehat{X}_2, \widehat{X}_2) = 0$, $h(\widehat{X}_4, \widehat{X}_4) = h(\widehat{X}_5, \widehat{X}_5) = 0$, $h^l(\widehat{X}_1, \widehat{X}_1) = h^l(\widehat{X}_3, \widehat{X}_3) = 0$, $h^s(\widehat{X}_1, \widehat{X}_1) = -\frac{1}{\varrho\sqrt{\varrho}}\widehat{W}_2$ and $h^s(\widehat{X}_3, \widehat{X}_3) = \frac{1}{\varrho\sqrt{\varrho}}\widehat{W}_2$. Hence, $M$ is not a totally geodesic ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold. Also, we have $\mathrm{trace}(h)|_{S(TM)} = \epsilon_1 h^s(\widehat{X}_1, \widehat{X}_1) + \epsilon_2 h^s(\widehat{X}_3, \widehat{X}_3) = 0$. Therefore, $M$ is a minimal proper ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}$.

**Definition 4.7** ([8])**.** A lightlike submanifold $M$ of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ is called irrotational if $\overline{\nabla}_X E \in \Gamma(TM)$, for any $E \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Rad}\, TM))$ and $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Equivalently, $M$ is irrotational if

$$h^l(X, E) = h^s(X, E) = 0,\ \forall\ X \in \Gamma(TM),\ E \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Rad}\, TM). \qquad (4.3)$$

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let $(M, g)$ be an irrotational ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$. If $\nabla$ is a metric connection, then $M$ is minimal if* $\mathrm{trace}(A_{W_\alpha})|_{S(TM)} = 0$.

*Proof.* First, we notice that when $M$ is irrotational then (4.3) implies that $h^s = 0$ on $\mathrm{Rad}\,TM$. Thus, condition (i) of Definition 4.5 is satisfied. Now using Definition 3.1 we can see that the screen distribution $S(TM)$ is generally spanned by

$$\{X_1, \cdots, X_{2l}, \overline{\phi}E_{2p+1}, \cdots, \overline{\phi}E_r, \overline{\phi}N_{2p+1}, \cdots, \overline{\phi}N_r, \overline{\phi}W_{r+1}, \cdots, \overline{\phi}W_k\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Since $M$ is ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold, the dimension of the frame in (4.4) is lower than that of a comparable GCR-lightlike subamanifold due to existence of some $u \in \{2p+1, \cdots, r\}$ and non-vanishing smooth function(s) $\sigma_u$ such that $\overline{\phi}N_u = \sigma_u \overline{\phi}E_u$ (see Proposition 4.3 above). Furthermore, the vectors $\overline{\phi}E_u$ and $\overline{\phi}N_u$ are non-null, since $g(\overline{\phi}E_u, \overline{\phi}E_u) = -a_u b_u \neq 0$ and $g(\overline{\phi}N_u, \overline{\phi}N_u) = -a_u b_u \neq 0$. Thus, by setting $Z_u = \overline{\phi}N_u = \sigma_u \overline{\phi}E_u$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{trace}(h)|_{S(TM)} = &\sum_{t=1}^{2l} \epsilon_t h(X_t, X_t) + \sum_{j=2p+1}^{\kappa} h(\overline{\phi}E_j, \overline{\phi}E_j) \\ &\sum_{j=2p+1}^{\kappa} h(\overline{\phi}N_j, \overline{\phi}N_j) + \sum_{u=\kappa+1}^{r} \epsilon_u h(Z_u, Z_u) + \sum_{d=r+1}^{k} \epsilon_d h(\overline{\phi}W_d, \overline{\phi}W_d). \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Applying (2.14) to (4.5) and replacing $Z$ with $E_i$ in (2.15) we derive

$$(\nabla_X g)(Y, E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i^l(X, Y)\lambda_i(E_i) = \overline{g}(h^l(X, Y), E_i), \tag{4.6}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(S(TM))$. Then using (4.6) and the assumption $\nabla$ is a metric connection we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{trace}(h)|_{S(TM)} = &\sum_{t=1}^{2l} \frac{\epsilon_t}{n} \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} \epsilon_\alpha \overline{g}(h^s(X_t, X_t), W_\alpha)W_\alpha \\ &+ \sum_{j=2p+1}^{\kappa} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} \epsilon_\alpha \overline{g}(h^s(\overline{\phi}E_j, \overline{\phi}E_j), W_\alpha)W_\alpha \\ &+ \sum_{j=2p+1}^{\kappa} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} \epsilon_\alpha \overline{g}(h^s(\overline{\phi}N_j, \overline{\phi}N_j), W_\alpha)W_\alpha \\ &+ \sum_{d=r+1}^{k} \frac{\epsilon_d}{n} \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} \epsilon_\alpha \overline{g}(h^s(\overline{\phi}W_d, \overline{\phi}W_d), W_\alpha)W_\alpha \\ &+ \sum_{u=\kappa+1}^{r} \frac{\epsilon_u}{n} \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} \epsilon_\alpha \overline{g}(h^s(Z_u, Z_u), W_\alpha)W_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Then using (2.14) we derive

$$\overline{g}(h^s(X,Y),W_\alpha)=\epsilon_\alpha h^s_\alpha(X,Y)=g(A_{W_\alpha}X,Y), \tag{4.8}$$

for any $X,Y\in\Gamma(S(TM))$. Finally, replacing (4.8) in (4.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{trace}(h)|_{S(TM)}=&\sum_{t=1}^{2l}\frac{\epsilon_t}{n}\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n}\epsilon_\alpha g(A_{W_\alpha}X_t,X_t)W_\alpha\\&+\sum_{j=2p+1}^{\kappa}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n}\epsilon_\alpha g(A_{W_\alpha}\overline{\phi}E_j,\overline{\phi}E_j)W_\alpha\\&+\sum_{j=2p+1}^{\kappa}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n}\epsilon_\alpha g(A_{W_\alpha}\overline{\phi}N_j,\overline{\phi}N_j)W_\alpha\\&+\sum_{d=r+1}^{k}\frac{\epsilon_d}{n}\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n}\epsilon_\alpha g(A_{W_\alpha}\overline{\phi}W_d,\overline{\phi}W_d)W_\alpha\\&+\sum_{u=\kappa+1}^{r}\frac{\epsilon_u}{n}\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n}\epsilon_\alpha\overline{g}(A_{W_\alpha}Z_u,Z_u)W_\alpha,\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

from which our assertion follows. Hence the proof. □

**Example 4.9.** Let $(M,g)$ be a submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}_4$ given in Example 4.6. We have shown that $h^l(X,Y)=0$ for any $X,Y\in\Gamma(TM)$. Hence, from (2.15) we can see that the induced connection $\nabla$ is a metric connection. Further, we have also seen that $h(X,Y)=0$ for all $X,Y\in\Gamma(\operatorname{Rad}TM)$ and thus, $h^s(X,Y)=0$ on $\operatorname{Rad}TM$ and also $h^s(X,E)=0$ for all $X\in\Gamma(TM)$. Therefore, $M$ is an irrotational minimal ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}_4$ with $\operatorname{trace}(A_{W_\alpha})|_{S(TM)}=0$ and thus satisfying the above theorem.

**Corollary 4.10.** *Let $(M,g)$ be a totally umbilical irrotational ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold $(\overline{M},\overline{g})$. If $\nabla$ is a metric connection, then $M$ is minimal if the mean curvature vectors $\mathcal{H}^s=0$.*

## 5. Co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifolds

In this section, we study a special class of QGCR-lightlike submanifolds of indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifolds, called *co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifold*.

**Definition 5.1.** Let $(M,g)$ be a QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinte almost contact manifold $(\overline{M},\overline{g})$. We say that $M$ is a co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}$ if $\xi\in\Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$.

From Definition 3.1 of QGCR-lightlike submanifold we notice that if $M$ is a co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifold then the direct sum in (3.4) reduces to the orthogonal sum $\overline{\phi}\,\overline{D} = \mathcal{S} \perp \mathcal{L}$. Note that this condition is also satisfied by GCR-lightlike submanifols though $\xi \in \Gamma(S(TM))$.

In the case of co-screen QGCR, the tangent bundle of $M$ is decomposed as follows;

$$TM = D \oplus \overline{D}, \text{ with } D = \mathrm{Rad}\, TM \perp D_0 \perp \overline{\phi} D_2. \tag{5.1}$$

The transversal bundle can also be decomposed as

$$\mathrm{tr}(TM) = \overline{\phi}\,\overline{D} \perp \mathcal{G} \perp \mathbb{R}\xi, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is an $\overline{\phi}$-invariant distribution, i.e., $\overline{\phi}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}$, and $\mathbb{R}\xi$ is line bundle spanned by $\xi$.

Next, we construct an example of a co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of a special nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$ in which $\overline{H} = 0$ and $\mu = 1$. More precisely, we take $\overline{M}$ to be a Sasakian manifold with the usual contact structure given in [8].

**Example 5.2.** Let $\overline{M} = (\mathbb{R}^{13}_4, \overline{g})$ be a semi-Euclidean space, where $\overline{g}$ is of signature $(-,-,+,+,+,+,-,-,+,+,+,+,+)$ with respect to the canonical basis

$$(\partial x_1, \partial x_2, \partial x_3, \partial x_4, \partial x_5, \partial x_6, \partial y_1, \partial y_2, \partial y_3, \partial y_4, \partial y_5, \partial y_6, \partial z).$$

Let $(M, g)$ be a submanifold of $\overline{M}$ given by

$$x^1 = y^4, \;\; y^1 = -x^4, \;\; x^2 = y^3, \;\; y^5 = (x^5)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \;\; \text{and} \;\; z = constant.$$

By direct calculations, we can easily check that the vector fields

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_1 = \partial x_4 + \partial y_1 + y^4 \partial z, \quad E_2 = \partial x_1 - \partial y_4 + y^1 \partial z,\\
&E_3 = \partial x_2 + \partial y_3 + y^2 \partial z, \quad X_1 = 2y^5 \partial x_5 + \partial y_5 + 2(y^5)^2 \partial z,\\
&X_2 = \partial x_3 - \partial y_2 + y^3 \partial z, \quad X_3 = 2(\partial x_3 + \partial y_2 + y^3 \partial z),\\
&X_4 = 2\partial y_6 \quad \text{and} \quad X_5 = 2(\partial x_6 + y^6 \partial z),
\end{aligned}$$

form a local frame of $TM$. From this, we can see that $\mathrm{Rad}\, TM$ is spanned by $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$, and therefore, $M$ is 3-lightlike. Further, $\overline{\phi}_0 E_1 = E_2$, therefore we set $D_1 = \mathrm{span}\{E_1, E_2\}$. Also $\overline{\phi}_0 E_3 = X_2$ and thus $D_2 = \mathrm{span}\{E_3\}$. It is easy to see that $\overline{\phi}_0 X_4 = X_5$, so we set $D_0 = \mathrm{span}\{X_4, X_5\}$. On the other hand, following direct calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&N_1 = 2(\partial x_4 - \partial y_1 + y^4 \partial z), \quad N_2 = 2(-\partial x_1 - \partial y_4 + y^1 \partial z),\\
&N_3 = 2(-\partial x_2 + \partial y_3 + y^2 \partial z), \;\; W_1 = \partial x_5 - 2y^5 \partial y_5 + y^5 \partial z,\\
&\text{and} \;\; W_2 = 2\partial z,
\end{aligned}$$

from which $l\mathrm{tr}(TM) = \mathrm{span}\{N_1, N_2, N_3\}$ and $S(TM^{\perp}) = \mathrm{span}\{W_1, W_2\}$. Clearly, $\overline{\phi}_0 N_2 = -N_1$. Further, $\overline{\phi}_0 N_3 = X_3$ and thus $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Span}\{N_3\}$. Also, $\overline{\phi}_0 W_1 = -X_1$ and therefore $\mathcal{S} = \mathrm{span}\{W_1\}$. Notice that, $\overline{\phi}_0 W_2 = 0$ and $\overline{g}(W_2, W_2) = 1$ hence $\xi = W_2$. Clearly, $M$ is a co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}$ satisfying the hypothesis of Definition 5.1.

Let $(M, g)$ be a co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold, $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$, and let $S$ and $R$ be the projections of $TM$ on to $D$ and $\overline{D}$ respectively, while $F$ and $Q$ are projections of $\mathrm{tr}(TM)$ on to $\overline{\phi}\,\overline{D}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ respectively. Then,

$$X = SX + RX \ \text{ and } \ V = FV + QV + \eta(V)\xi, \tag{5.3}$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $V \in \Gamma(\mathrm{tr}(TM))$.

Applying $\overline{\phi}$ to the two equations of (5.3), we respectively derive

$$\overline{\phi}X = \phi_1 X + \varphi_1 X \ \text{ and } \ \overline{\phi}V = \phi_2 V + \varphi_2 V, \tag{5.4}$$

where $\{\phi_1 X, \phi_2 V\}$ and $\{\varphi_1 X, \varphi_2 V\}$ respectively belongs to $TM$ and $\mathrm{tr}(TM)$.

Using the nearly $\mu$-Sasakian condition (2.3) and equations (5.4) and (2.9)-(2.11), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &- A_{\varphi_1 X}Y - A_{\varphi_1 Y}X + \nabla_X \phi_1 Y + \nabla_Y \phi_1 X \\ &+ \nabla^t_X \varphi_1 Y + \nabla^t_Y \varphi_1 X + h(X, \phi_1 Y) + h(Y, \phi_1 X) \\ &- \phi_1 \nabla_X Y - \phi_1 \nabla_X Y - \varphi_1 \nabla_X Y - \varphi_1 \nabla_X Y \\ &- 2\phi_2 h(X, Y) - 2\varphi_2 h(X, Y) - 2\mu \overline{g}(X, Y)\xi = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. Then, comparing the tangential and transversal components in (5.5), we get;

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla_X \phi_1 Y + \nabla_Y \phi_1 X - A_{\varphi_1 X}Y - A_{\varphi_1 Y}X \\ &- \phi_1 \nabla_X Y - \phi_1 \nabla_X Y - 2\phi_2 h(X, Y) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla^t_X \varphi_1 Y + \nabla^t_Y \varphi_1 X + h(X, \phi_1 Y) + h(Y, \phi_1 X) \\ &-\varphi_1 \nabla_X Y - \varphi_1 \nabla_X Y - 2\varphi_2 h(X, Y) - 2\mu \overline{g}(X, Y)\xi = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

respectively.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let $(M, g)$ be a co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$. Then,*

(1) *$D$ is integrable if and only if*

$$h(X, \phi_1 Y) + h(Y, \phi_1 X) = 2\varphi_1 \nabla_Y X + 2\varphi_2 h(X, Y) + 2\mu \overline{g}(X, Y)\xi,$$

*for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$.*

(2) *$\overline{D}$ is integrable if and only if*

$$A_{\varphi_1 X}Y + A_{\varphi_1 Y}X = -2\phi_1\nabla_Y X - 2\phi_2 h(X,Y),$$

*for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(\overline{D})$.*

*Proof.* Using (5.6) and (5.7), we derive

$$h(X,\phi_1 Y) + h(Y,\phi_1 X) = \varphi_1[X,Y] + 2\varphi_1\nabla_Y X + 2\varphi_2 h(X,Y) + 2\mu\overline{g}(X,Y)\xi, \tag{5.8}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and

$$A_{\varphi_1 X}Y + A_{\varphi_1 Y}X = -\phi_1[X,Y] - 2\phi_1\nabla_Y X - 2\phi_2 h(X,Y), \tag{5.9}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(\overline{D})$. Then, the assertions follows from (5.8) and (5.9), which completes the proof.

□

Next, we define nearly parallel distributions of submanifolds in semi-Riemannian manifolds.

**Definition 5.4.** *Let $(M, g)$ be a submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ and let $\nabla$ be the connection induced in its tangent bundle. Then a distribution $D$ on $M$ will be called nearly parallel if*

$$\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X \in \Gamma(D), \ \ \forall\, X \in \Gamma(TM) \ \text{ and } \ Y \in \Gamma(D).$$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let $(M, g)$ be a co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$. Then*

$$\eta(\overline{\nabla}_X Y) + \eta(\overline{\nabla}_Y X) = 0,$$

*for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.*

*Proof.* The proof follows by straightforward calculations. □

Now, using Definition 5.4 and Lemma 5.5, we have the following;

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let $(M, g)$ be a co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$. If $D$ is nearly parallel, then $h(X, \overline{\phi}Y) + h(Y, \phi_1 X) + \nabla^t_Y\varphi_1 X$ has no component in $(\mathcal{L} \perp \mathcal{S})$ for all $Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.*

*Proof.* Using (2.3), (5.4) and Lemma 5.5, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \phi_1 A_{\varphi_1 X}Y - \varphi_1 A_{\varphi_1 X}Y + \phi_1\nabla_X\overline{\phi}Y + \varphi_1\nabla_X\overline{\phi}Y \\
&+ \phi_1\nabla_Y\phi_1 X + \varphi_1\nabla_Y\phi_1 X + \nabla_Y X + \nabla_X Y + \phi_2\nabla^t_Y\varphi_1 X \\
&+ \varphi_2\nabla^t_Y\varphi_1 X + 2h(X,Y) + \phi_2 h(X,\overline{\phi}Y) + \varphi_2 h(X,\overline{\phi}Y) \\
&+ \phi_2 h(\phi_1 X, Y) + \varphi_2 h(\phi_1 X, Y) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

for all $Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Then, taking the tangential components of (5.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &- \phi_1 A_{\varphi_1 X} Y + \phi_1 \nabla_X \overline{\phi} Y + \phi_1 \nabla_Y \phi_1 X + \phi_2 \nabla^t_Y \varphi_1 X \\ &+ \nabla_Y X + \nabla_X Y + \phi_2 h(X, \overline{\phi} Y) + \phi_2 h(\phi_1 X, Y) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

for all $Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. The result follows from (5.11), using the fact that $D$ is nearly parallel. □

**Theorem 5.7.** *Let $(M, g)$ be a co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$. If $\overline{D}$ is nearly parallel, then $-A_{\varphi_1 X} Y - A_{\overline{\phi} Y} X + \nabla_Y \phi_1 X$ has no component in $D$ for all $Y \in \Gamma(\overline{D})$ and $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that in Theorem 5.6 and therefore we leave it out. □

Using the idea of [19], we define nearly auto-parallel distributons on submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds.

**Definition 5.8.** *Let $(M, g)$ be a submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ and let $\nabla$ be the connection induced in its tangent bundle. Then a distribution $D$ on $M$ will be called nearly auto-parallel if*

$$\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X \in \Gamma(D), \ \ \forall\, X, Y \in \Gamma(D).$$

**Theorem 5.9.** *Let $(M, g)$ be a co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$. If $D$ is nearly auto-parallel, then $h(X, \overline{\phi} Y) + h(Y, \phi_1 X)$ has no component in $(\mathcal{L} \perp \mathcal{S})$ for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$.*

*Proof.* Using (2.3), equations (5.4) and Lemma 5.5, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_1 \nabla_X \overline{\phi} Y + \varphi_1 \nabla_X \overline{\phi} Y + \phi_1 \nabla_Y \overline{\phi} X + \varphi_1 \nabla_Y \overline{\phi} X \\ &+ \phi_2 h(X, \overline{\phi} Y) + \varphi_2 h(X, \overline{\phi} Y) + \phi_2 h(Y, \overline{\phi} X) + \varphi_2 h(Y, \overline{\phi} X) \\ &+ \nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X + 2h(X, Y) = 0, \ \ \forall\, X, Y \in \Gamma(D). \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Considering the tangential components of (5.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_1 \nabla_X \overline{\phi} Y + \phi_1 \nabla_Y \overline{\phi} X + \phi_2 h(X, \overline{\phi} Y) + \phi_2 h(Y, \overline{\phi} X) \\ &+ \nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X = 0, \ \ \forall\, X, Y \in \Gamma(D). \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Since $D$ is nearly auto-parallel, (5.13) leads to

$$\phi_2 h(X, \overline{\phi} Y) + \phi_2 h(Y, \overline{\phi} X) = 0,$$

from which our assertion follows. Hence, the proof is complete. □

In the similar way, we have the following.

**Theorem 5.10.** *Let $(M, g)$ be a co-screen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly $\mu$-Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$. If $\overline{D}$ is nearly auto-parallel, then $A_{\varphi_1 X}Y + A_{\overline{\phi}Y}X$ has no component in $D$ for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(\overline{D})$.*

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research work was partially supported by the African Institute for mathematical Sciences (AIMS) in Sénégal, University of KwaZulu-Natal in South Africa and the Simon Foundation through the RGSM-Network project.

## REFERENCES

[1] M. Ahmad and M. D. Siddiqui, On a nearly Sasakian manifold with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 4, (2010), No. 35, 1725-1732.
[2] D. E. Blair, D. K. Showers and K. Yano, Nearly Sasakian structures, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 27 (1976), 175-180.
[3] D.E. Blair, Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry. Lecture notes in Math. 509. Springer-verlag, Berlin, (1976).
[4] C. Calin, Contributions to geometry of CR-submanifold, Ph.D. thesis, University of Iasi (Romania), 1998.
[5] K. L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, Lightlike submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds and applications, Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
[6] K. L. Duggal and D. H. Jin, Null curves and hypersurfaces of semi-Riemannian manifolds, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd, 2007.
[7] K. L. Duggal and B. Sahin, Lightlike Submanifolds of Indefinite Sasakian Manifolds, Internat. J. Math. Sci., vol. 2007, Article ID 57585, 21 pages, 2007.
[8] K. L. Duggal and B. Sahin, Differential geometry of lightlike submanifolds, Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2008.
[9] K. L. Duggal and B. Sahin, Generalised Cauchy-Riemannian lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Sasakian manifolds, Acta Math. Hungar. 122 (2009), no. 1-2, 45-58.
[10] K. L. Duggal and D. J. Jin, Generic lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Sasakian manifolds, Int. Electron. J. Geom. 5 (2012), no. 1, 108-119.
[11] H. Endo, On the curvature tensor of nearly cosymplectic manifolds of constant $\Phi$-sectional curvature, An. tiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat. (N.S.) 51 (2005), no. 2, 439-454.
[12] D.H. Jin, ascreen lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Sasakian manifolds, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math, 20, No. 1 (2013), 25-35.
[13] D.N. Kupeli, Singuler semi-Riemannian geometry, Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 366, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
[14] E. Loubeau and E. Vergara-Diaz, The harmonicity of nearly cosymplectic structures, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 367 (2015), no. 8, 5301-5327.
[15] F. Massamba, Totally contact umbilical lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Sasakian manifolds, Kodai Math. J., 31 (2008), 338-358.
[16] F. Massamba, On semi-parallel lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds, J. Geom., 95 (2009), 73-89.

[17] F. Massamba, On lightlike geometry in indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds. Math. Slovaca 62 (2) (2012), 315-344.
[18] F. Massamba and S. Ssekajja, Quasi generalized CR-lightlike submanifolds of indefinite nearly Sasakian manifolds, Arab. J. Math. (2016) 5:87-101.
[19] M. M. Tripathi, On CR submanifolds of nearly and closely cosymplectic manifolds, Ganita 51 (2000), No. 1, 43-56.
[20] K. Yano and M. Kon, CR submanifolds of Kaehlerian and Sasakian Manifolds, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 30, Birkhauser, Boston. Basel. Stuttgart, 1983.

* SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF KWAZULU-NATAL
PRIVATE BAG X01, SCOTTSVILLE 3209
SOUTH AFRICA
*E-mail address*: massfort@yahoo.fr, Massamba@ukzn.ac.za

** SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF KWAZULU-NATAL
PRIVATE BAG X01, SCOTTSVILLE 3209
SOUTH AFRICA
*E-mail address*: ssekajja.samuel.buwaga@aims-senegal.org